

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS**

TYLER DIVISION

STRAGENT, LLC and SEESAW FOUNDATION,	§	
	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	Civil Action No. 6:10-CV-242-LED
	§	
v.	§	
	§	
CLASSMATES ONLINE, INC., <i>et al.</i>,	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
	§	
Defendants.	§	

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

This is an action for patent infringement in which Plaintiffs Stragent, LLC (“Stragent”) and SeeSaw Foundation (“SeeSaw”) complain against Defendants Classmates Online, Inc., Classmates Media Corporation, and United Online, Inc. (collectively “Classmates”); Gannett Co., Inc. (“Gannett”); MTV Networks, a division of Viacom International, Inc., and Viacom Inc. (collectively “MTV”); MyLife.com, Inc. (“MyLife”); MySpace, Inc. (“MySpace”); and Plaxo, Inc. (“Plaxo”), as follows:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Stragent is a Texas limited liability company having its principal place of business in Longview, Texas.
2. Plaintiff SeeSaw is a Texas non-profit corporation intended to qualify as an entity exempt from income tax as an organization described in Internal Revenue Code section 501(c)(3) and as a supporting organization described in Internal Revenue Code section 509(a)(3)(B)(i) (a “Type 1 Supporting Organization”) to SeeSaw Children’s Place, a Texas non-

profit corporation exempt from income tax under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code, having its principal place of business in Longview, Texas.

3. On information and belief, Defendant Classmates Online, Inc. is a Washington corporation having its principal place of business in Renton, Washington.

4. On information and belief, Defendant Classmates Media Corporation is a Delaware corporation having its principal place of business in Seattle, Washington.

5. On information and belief, Defendant United Online, Inc. is a Delaware corporation having its principal place of business in Woodland Hills, California.

6. On information and belief, Defendant Classmates Online, Inc. is subsidiary of Defendant Classmates Media Corporation, which is a wholly owned subsidiary of Defendant United Online, Inc.

7. On information and belief, Defendant Gannett is a Delaware corporation having its principal place of business in McLean, Virginia.

8. On information and belief, Defendant MTV Networks is an unincorporated division of Viacom International, Inc., which is a Delaware corporation having its principal place of business in New York, New York.

9. On information and belief, Defendant Viacom Inc. is a Delaware corporation having its principal place of business in New York, New York.

10. On information and belief, Defendant MTV Networks is an unincorporated division of Viacom International, Inc., which is a subsidiary of Defendant Viacom Inc.

11. On information and belief, Defendant MyLife is a Delaware corporation having its principal place of business in Santa Monica, California.

12. On information and belief, Defendant MySpace is a Delaware corporation having its principal place of business in Los Angeles, California.

13. On information and belief, Defendant Plaxo is a Delaware corporation having its principal place of business in Mountain View, California.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

14. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

15. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b). On information and belief, each Defendant has transacted business in this district and has committed and/or contributed to acts of patent infringement in this district.

16. On information and belief, each Defendant is subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, due at least to its substantial business in this forum, directly or through intermediaries, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; and (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in Texas and in this Judicial District.

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,665,722

17. Plaintiff SeeSaw is the owner by assignment of United States Patent No. 6,665,722 ("the '722 patent") entitled "Store-and-forward packet radio system and method." The '722 patent was duly and legally issued on December 16, 2003. A true and correct copy of the '722 patent is attached as Exhibit A.

18. Plaintiff Stragent is the exclusive licensee of the '722 patent, having an exclusive, worldwide, transferable, retroactive and prospective license ("the License") under the '722

patent, with the right to sublicense others, to (i) make, have made, use, sell, offer to sell, import and lease any products, (ii) use and perform any method, process, and/or services, and (iii) otherwise practice any invention in any manner, such that Stragent has full right to enforce and/or sublicense the '722 patent without any restriction, subject to certain encumbrances. Stragent further has the exclusive right under the License to maintain, enforce, or defend the '722 patent, including without limitation pursuing and collecting damages, royalties, and other payments and obtaining injunctive relief and other remedies for past, current and future infringement of the '722 patent and pursuing and entering into any settlement related to a claim of infringement.

19. On information and belief, Defendant Classmates has been and now is, directly or through intermediaries, directly infringing the '722 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States. Classmates's direct infringements include, without limitation, making, using, offering for sale and/or selling within the United States, and/or importing into the United States, communication systems, including without limitation the Classmates.com Internet website, that infringe one or more claims of the '722 patent. Classmates is thus liable for infringement of the '722 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

20. On information and belief, Defendant Classmates has been and now is, directly or through intermediaries, contributing to infringement of the '722 patent by, for example, end users of communication systems, including without limitation the Classmates.com Internet website, that infringe one or more claims of the '722 patent. Classmates is thus liable for further infringement of the '722 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

21. On information and belief, Defendant Gannett has been and now is, directly or through intermediaries, directly infringing the '722 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial

district, and elsewhere in the United States. Gannett's direct infringements include, without limitation, making, using, offering for sale and/or selling in the United States, and/or importing into the United States, communication systems, including without limitation the USAToday.com Internet website, that infringe one or more claims of the '722 patent. Gannett is thus liable for infringement of the '722 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

22. On information and belief, Defendant Gannett has been and now is, directly or through intermediaries, contributing to infringement of the '722 patent by, for example, end users of communication systems, including without limitation the USAToday.com Internet website, that infringe one or more claims of the '722 patent. Gannett is thus liable for further infringement of the '722 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

23. On information and belief, Defendant MTV has been and now is, directly or through intermediaries, directly infringing the '722 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States. MTV's direct infringements include, without limitation, making, using, offering for sale and/or selling within the United States, and/or importing into the United States, communication systems, including without limitation the MTV.com and VH1.com Internet websites, that infringe one or more claims of the '722 patent. MTV is thus liable for infringement of the '722 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

24. On information and belief, Defendant MTV has been and now is, directly or through intermediaries, contributing to infringement of the '722 patent by, for example, end users of communication systems, including without limitation the MTV.com and VH1.com Internet websites, that infringe one or more claims of the '722 patent. MTV is thus liable for further infringement of the '722 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

25. On information and belief, Defendant MyLife has been and now is, directly or through intermediaries, directly infringing the '722 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States. MyLife's direct infringements include, without limitation, making, using, offering for sale and/or selling within the United States, and/or importing into the United States, communication systems, including without limitation the Reunion.com Internet website, that infringe one or more claims of the '722 patent. MyLife is thus liable for infringement of the '722 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

26. On information and belief, Defendant MyLife has been and now is, directly or through intermediaries, contributing to infringement of the '722 patent by, for example, end users of communication systems, including without limitation the Reunion.com Internet website, that infringe one or more claims of the '722 patent. MyLife is thus liable for further infringement of the '722 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

27. On information and belief, Defendant MySpace has been and now is, directly or through intermediaries, directly infringing the '722 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States. MySpace's direct infringements include, without limitation, making, using, offering for sale and/or selling within the United States, and/or importing into the United States, communication systems, including without limitation the MySpace.com Internet website, that infringe one or more claims of the '722 patent. MySpace is thus liable for infringement of the '722 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

28. On information and belief, Defendant MySpace has been and now is, directly or through intermediaries, contributing to infringement of the '722 patent by, for example, end users of communication systems, including without limitation the MySpace.com Internet

website, that infringe one or more claims of the '722 patent. MySpace is thus liable for further infringement of the '722 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

29. On information and belief, Defendant Plaxo has been and now is, directly or through intermediaries, directly infringing the '722 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States. Plaxo's direct infringements include, without limitation, making, using, offering for sale and/or selling within the United States, and/or importing into the United States, communication systems, including without limitation the Plaxo.com Internet website, that infringe one or more claims of the '722 patent. Plaxo is thus liable for infringement of the '722 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

30. On information and belief, Defendant Plaxo has been and now is, directly or through intermediaries, contributing to infringement of the '722 patent by, for example, end users of communication systems, including without limitation the Plaxo.com Internet website, that infringe one or more claims of the '722 patent. Plaxo is thus liable for further infringement of the '722 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

31. As a result of Defendants' infringement of the '722 patent, Stragent and SeeSaw have suffered monetary damages that are adequate to compensate them for the infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 284, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Stragent and SeeSaw request that this Court enter:

A. A judgment in favor of Stragent and SeeSaw that Defendants have directly infringed the '722 patent;

B. A judgment in favor of Stragent and SeeSaw that Defendants have contributed to others' infringement of the '722 patent;

C. A judgment and order requiring Defendants to pay Stragent and SeeSaw their damages, costs, expenses, prejudgment and post-judgment interest, and post-judgment royalties for Defendants' infringement of the '722 patent as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284; and

D. Any and all other relief to which the Court may deem Stragent and SeeSaw entitled.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Stragent and SeeSaw, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, request a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

Respectfully submitted,



Eric M. Albritton
Texas Bar No. 00790215
ema@emafirm.com
Adam A. Biggs
Texas Bar No. 24051753
aab@emafirm.com
Debra Coleman
Texas Bar No. 24059595
drc@emafirm.com
Matthew C. Harris
Texas Bar No. 24059904
mch@emafirm.com
ALBRITTON LAW FIRM
P.O. Box 2649
Longview, Texas 75606
Telephone: (903) 757-8449
Facsimile: (903) 758-7397

T. John Ward, Jr.
State Bar No. 00794818
jw@jwfirm.com
WARD & SMITH LAW FIRM
P.O. Box 1231
Longview, Texas 75606-1231
Telephone: (903) 757-6400
Facsimile: (903) 757-2323

Danny L. Williams
Texas Bar No. 21518050
danny@wmalaw.com
J. Mike Amerson
mike@wmalaw.com
Texas Bar No. 01150025
Jaison C. John
Texas State Bar No. 24002351
jjohn@wmalaw.com
Christopher N. Cravey
Texas Bar No. 24034398
ccravey@wmalaw.com
Matthew R. Rodgers
Texas Bar No. 24041802
mrodgers@wmalaw.com
Michael A. Benefield
Indiana Bar No. 24560-49
mbenefield@wmalaw.com
David Morehan
Texas Bar No. 24065790
dmorehan@wmalaw.com
WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C.
10333 Richmond, Suite 1100
Houston, Texas 77042
Telephone: (713) 934-7000
Facsimile: (713) 934-7011

*Attorneys for Stragent, LLC and
SeeSaw Foundation*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a). As such, this document was served on all counsel who are deemed to have consented to electronic service. Local Rule CV-5(a)(3)(A). Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(d) and Local Rule CV-5(d) and (e), all other counsel of record not deemed to have consented to electronic service were served with a true and correct copy of the foregoing by email and/or fax, on this the 17th day of September 2010.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Eric M. Albritton", written over a horizontal line.

Eric M. Albritton